Sri Lankan Journal of Technology
Research

SLJoT
2026 Issue 01 27-36
ISSN-2773-6970

Artificial Intelligence as a Catalyst for Transforming Education

Riya Gulati[1,2]

[1]University College Dublin, Ireland
[2]Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University, Pune, India

*Corresponding Author: riyagulati0205@gmail.com || ORCID: 0000-0001-8060-774X

Received: 18-07-2025. * Accepted: 29-06-2026 * Published Online: 30-06-2026

Abstract-Artificial Intelligence (AI) holds transformative potential for education, enabling personalized, scalable learning while also risking the amplification of existing inequities. Achieving SDG 4 in the context of digital transformation requires more than the deployment of tools; it demands deliberate strategies to ensure equity, ethics, and relevance**.** The UN 2030 Agenda positions Quality Education not only as a standalone goal but as a foundation for all SDGs. The study finds that AI can improve learning outcomes and streamline administrative tasks; however, these benefits are contingent upon strategies that address inequities, data privacy, transparency, and human oversight. By analyzing global AI initiatives, policy frameworks, and educational practices, the research identifies that effective AI integration depends on coordinated national strategies, teacher training and empowerment, inclusive digital infrastructure, and ethically designed tools. These findings suggest that without effective governance and human-centered implementation, AI risks reinforcing existing disparities rather than promoting equitable and sustainable education. Accordingly, this paper examines the impact of AI in education, exploring its applications, ethical considerations, and global policy frameworks.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Education, Educational Technology, Emerging Technology, SDG 4

Recommended APA Citation

Gulati, R. (2026). Artificial intelligence as a catalyst for transforming education. *Sri Lankan Journal of Technology, 7*(1), 27–36.

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is exerting a transformative impact on education, not as a substitute for human educators, but as a catalytic tool to support their work, enhance instructional efficacy, and streamline educational practices. AI is not a panacea, but it has the potential to reconfigure teaching and assessment paradigms when applied judiciously. Its full impact can be realized when strategically integrated with human insight, institutional policy, and educational equity goals. As schools, universities, and educational bodies continue to expand their engagement with AI, the central question must transition from what AI can do *to* how it should be deployed and to what ends.

AI technologies are redefining fundamental conceptions of teaching and learning. Conventionally, educators have been tasked with designing curricula that cater to the generalized needs of a diverse student body, often resulting in a one-size-fits-all instructional model. In contrast, AI-driven adaptive learning systems disrupt this model by facilitating highly individualized learning pathways. These platforms algorithmically respond to real-time learner data, enabling content delivery that is responsive and iterative rather than static or linear. This shift introduces a new paradigm, data-informed instruction. In effect, AI transitions the educator's role from "instructor" to "interpreter," emphasizing cognitive coaching over content transmission.

A significant yet frequently overlooked dimension of AI's impact in education is its capacity to automate non-instructional functions. Tasks such as grading, scheduling, report generation, and feedback management, conventionally time-consuming and prone to variability are delegated to intelligent systems. This not only reduces educator workload but also enhances procedural consistency, objectivity, and scalability in assessment and administrative operations. Moreover, AI-assisted curriculum design and planning tools provide educators access to research-informed resources for lesson development, instructional material creation, and formative feedback generation. These advancements have enabled educators to redirect their focus toward high-value pedagogical activities, particularly fostering meaningful student learning outcomes.

AI also plays a pivotal role in fostering diversity and accessibility in education. By supporting assistive technologies such as speech recognition for students with hearing impairments or tools that aid learners with cognitive differences, AI significantly broadens the inclusivity of learning environments. Natural language processing and real-time translation capabilities further mitigate linguistic barriers, allowing multilingual learners equitable access to instructional content. AI functions not only as an instrument of innovation but also as a mechanism for advancing social justice, helping to close persistent opportunity gaps rooted in geography, socio-economic status, disability, and systemic inequities. Nevertheless, the sustained effectiveness of AI in education is challenged by persistent structural issues, including economic inequalities, inadequate digital infrastructure in rural regions, insufficient internet connectivity, and intergenerational disparities in digital competency (Bakhshi & Jilani, 2021). Addressing these foundational gaps is essential to realizing the full potential of AI as an equitable force in educational transformation.

Integrating AI at scale goes beyond mere technological deployment; it represents a systemic shift requiring institutional redesign. Predictive analytics can identify students at risk of dropping out, reveal learning bottlenecks, and track behavioural trends, enabling timely interventions and more strategic allocation of resources. Beyond the classroom, AI can optimize campus management, enhance cybersecurity, and support budget forecasting, streamlining operations across entire educational systems. In this way, AI functions not only as a pedagogical tool but also as a layer of organizational intelligence, driving continuous improvement and long-term strategic planning in education.

Application of Artificial Intelligence in Education

Knowledge is central to economic growth and global competitiveness. Unlike conventional economies that relied heavily on natural resources, physical labor, and manual tools, today's most advanced economies are knowledge-based, defined by their reliance on intellectual capital, innovation, and efficient use of information and technology (Dodgson, Gann, & Salter, 2008). In this context, education serves not merely as a system for credentialing but as a foundational mechanism for advancing high-level cognitive skills, fostering research, and producing the skilled workforce necessary for the knowledge-intensive sectors. AI, as a key technological enabler, is playing a pivotal role in reconfiguring education to meet the demands of the knowledge economy. AI-driven learning has been adopted in both higher education and professional training and is expected to grow substantially. This growth is driven in part by AI's ability to enhance objectivity in instructional and assessment by reducing certain types of human bias (Nisha & Bakhshi, 2019). While AI does not eliminate the human role in education, it can help create more consistent and equitable learning experiences when designed and implemented responsibly.

The rise of ICTs has long been recognized as a disruptive force for traditional university models. The introduction of distance education and digital learning platforms initially fueled concerns about the potential decline or even obsolescence of universities (Altbach, 2012). Today, AI adds a novel dimension to this transformation. Rather than replacing universities, AI is prompting them to reevaluate their roles, structures, and pedagogical approaches. Institutions that strategically integrate AI into their educational frameworks are better positioned to enhance accessibility, drive pedagogical and institutional innovation, and remain agile in responding to emerging educational trends and workforce demands. This student-centered educational technology can enhance learning, and effectively address the limitations of conventional teacher-centered classroom (Schukoske, 2014).

AI applications in education, particularly in teaching and learning, are expanding significantly. Tools like Watson Tutor, Knewton Alta and Otter.ai, are examples of how AI is being used to encourage student success in online set ups. Beyond enhancing instruction, AI also contributes to the teaching of AI-related skills themselves. AI's capacity to personalize learning experiences, reduce workloads, and support the analysis of intricate, large-scale data sets enhances its role in the educational system. Beyond course- and program-level pedagogical strategies, AI engages with institutional data to assist colleges and universities track retention rates, identify areas for intervention, and evaluate the effectiveness of academic programs.

AI is being implemented in practical ways across higher education, supporting both learning and institutional goals. For example, UC San Diego's Data Science and Machine Learning Platform provides undergraduate and graduate students with access to research-grade CPU and GPU resources for coursework, independent study, and student-led projects. Similarly, Indiana University's IU Boost combines a machine learning model with a mobile app to send push notifications reminding students of approaching assignment deadlines when no submission is detected, offering a personalized nudge to encourage academic engagement. Another notable tool, Edulai, provides intelligent support for both students and educators by tracking and assessing the development of critical soft skills such as communication, collaboration, leadership, problem solving, and intellectual competence (Alexander et al, 2019).

Moreover, FLEXA, developed by MIP Politecnico di Milano in collaboration with Microsoft, is a personalized and continuous learning platform that leverages advanced AI tools to support professional development. Designed as a digital mentor, FLEXA assists users in identifying skill gaps and curating targeted learning content aligned with their career objectives and the demands of today's dynamic business sector. In a context where learners are increasingly overwhelmed by information, the platform employs AI to filter and recommend specific

resources based on users' available time and learning goals (Abdelaal & Al Sawi, 2024). In parallel, OU Analyse from the Open University applies machine learning to support academic success by identifying students at risk of failure. By analyzing demographic and detailed virtual learning environment data, the system builds predictive models that generate weekly risk assessments. These insights, shared with tutors and student support teams, enable timely and personalized interventions aimed at improving student retention (Kuzilek et al, 2015).

Similarly, at the University of New South Wales, Prof. David Kellermann implemented a learning system supported by Microsoft Teams and Microsoft Stream, enhanced by a custom-built AI chatbot known as Question Bot (QBot). This bot assigns student questions to appropriate teaching assistants, builds a searchable knowledge base, and even links questions to specific segments of recorded lectures (Akinwalere & Ivanov, 2022).

Additionally, Microsoft's Immersive Reader aids reading and comprehension for all learners, integrating AI for language detection, text-to-speech, and translation. Microsoft's collaboration with Pearson group led to the development of "LongWen Xiaoying," an AI-powered interactive English learning app, supporting foreign language acquisition across all education levels. AI technologies also streamline assessment processes. GamaLearn, a Microsoft partner, uses AI for authoring, proctoring, and marking assessments, while SwiftAssess AI-Cyber Proctor solution supports large-scale exam integrity by using AI to detect and capture potential breaches, highlight irregularities during sessions, and provide detailed forensic dashboards for institutional review (Papaspyridis, 2020).

AI is being integrated into research practices within education, offering advanced capabilities that enhance the efficiency, scope, and quality of scholarly work. AI tools facilitate automated data analysis, enabling accurate and timely processing of large datasets, while also supporting literature reviews through intelligent summarization and citation tracking. By streamlining repetitive tasks such as transcription, data coding, and formatting, AI allows researchers to allocate more time to conceptual and analytical work. Furthermore, AI supports predictive modeling, fosters cross-disciplinary collaboration by identifying patterns across diverse fields, and improves accessibility through NLP and translation tools.

As AI becomes embedded in research workflows, there is a dire need for ethical frameworks to ensure transparency, fairness, and responsible use (Khalifa & Albadawy, 2024). AI tools such as Undermind, Litmaps, Semantic Scholar, R Discovery, Scholarcy, Enago Read, Paperpal, Researchpal, Review-it, Scispace, Julius, exemplify the range of intelligent systems available to support researchers across the entire research process. These platforms support the discovery of relevant literature, enhancement of academic writing, citation management, and manuscript review, while also fostering collaboration across linguistic diversity and interdisciplinary domains. The strategic integration of such tools not only augments individual research capacity but also contributes to a more connected, efficient, and inclusive global research framework.

India's education system has undergone substantial change, driven in part by the integration of AI in both instructional and administrative domains. India's growing EdTech system is delivering AI-powered solutions for online learning, skill development, and career guidance (Sharma et al, 2024). Institutions are leveraging AI to enhance operational efficiency in areas such as admissions processing, attendance tracking, and resource management. Simultaneously, universities have begun embedding AI-focused content into their academic curricula, ensuring that students acquire essential competencies aligned with emerging industry demands (Raja, 2024). This emphasis on AI literacy is further reflected in the proliferation of specialized programs in artificial intelligence, machine learning, and data science, positioning graduates to thrive in a technology-driven economy.

Ethical Considerations in AI-Enabled Education

The integration of AI in education raises critical ethical concerns that must be addressed to ensure responsible implementation. Student data is highly sensitive, necessitating strict adherence to privacy-preserving, transparent data governance practices. Algorithmic bias remains a significant risk, particularly when systems are trained on non-representative datasets, potentially reinforcing existing inequalities. Additionally, over-automation may erode the relational and affective dimensions of teaching, which are vital for learner engagement and identity development.

These issues reflect deeper trade-offs inherent in AI adoption: efficiency gains may come at the cost of surveillance and diminished professional trust; data-driven personalization may compromise privacy; and enhanced instructional support risks devolving into automated control if educators lose transparency and authority over algorithmic decisions. Addressing these complexities requires a principled approach to AI design and deployment, grounded in the imperatives of inspectability**,** explainability, and overridability (U.S. Department of Education, 2023). Educators must be able to scrutinize how decisions are made, understand the logic behind AI outputs, and retain the ability to exercise pedagogical judgment. Responsible AI in education is not just a technical goal, it is a moral imperative. The potential of AI in education will be fully realized only when it is designed and deployed to augment human insight, uphold the dignity and diversity of learners, and reinforce, rather than replace, the central role of educators in the learning process.

Ethical implementation requires not only technical safeguards but also inclusive governance structures and multi-stakeholder collaboration**,** involving governments, educators, civil society, and technology providers. Ensuring that AI in education is deployed equitably, transparently, and with respect for human rights is critical to achieving SDG 4 in both form and substance. Without such an approach, AI risks becoming a force for exclusion rather than empowerment (Nyhan & Marshall, 2024).

Global Policy Frameworks for AI in Education

According to the OECD, there is a broad global engagement with AI policy, with numerous initiatives making reference to education. However, these efforts largely concentrate on higher education and workforce retraining, often framing AI education in terms of capacity building, learning about AI, rather than its pedagogical integration or societal implications. Despite commitments under SDG 4, there is limited focus on how AI technologies are being used in education, or on preparing individuals for collaborative human-AI futures.

The global policy framework concerning AI in education reflects a wide range of strategies that can be broadly classified into three categories: independent, integrated, and thematic approaches. The independent approach involves the development of stand-alone AI policies and frameworks dedicated specifically to AI's role in education. For instance, the United States' National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan (2016) emphasize expanding access to high-quality education through AI-driven tools such as adaptive tutoring systems. These technologies are envisioned to complement human instructors, personalize learning, and support lifelong skill acquisition across society (National Science and Technology Council, 2016). According to the 2023 report, efforts to support AI higher education staff should focus on maintaining a robust university workforce to train future AI professionals across all degree levels, including strategies like joint academic-industry appointments (National Science and Technology Council, 2023). Similarly, the Republic of Korea's Mid- to Long-Term Plan in Preparation for the Intelligent Information Society (2016) aims to build a robust AI talent pipeline by producing 50,000 specialists by 2030, starting with 5,000 new graduates annually from 2020. China's New-Generation Artificial Intelligence Development Plan (2017) introduces the concept of 'intelligent education' and outlines a vision to integrate AI across educational

systems. The strategy includes the development of intelligent and interactive learning environments, AI-assisted campus infrastructure, data-driven personalized learning platforms, and the use of AI assistants for educational management and analytics (Webster et al, 2017). These measures are intended to facilitate a learner-centered educational transformation. The UAE Strategy for Artificial Intelligence (2017) similarly identifies education as one of nine key sectors targeted for AI integration, highlighting the potential of AI to increase efficiency and improve educational outcomes. The European Union's 2018 report, The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Learning, Teaching, and Education, provides a critical examination of AI's cognitive and systemic implications (Tuomi, 2018). It posits that AI may both enhance and displace certain human cognitive capacities and stresses the need for a future-oriented educational vision for AI. There is need for a fundamental rethinking of the purpose, structure, and goals of education in the context of broader transformations driven by the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Malta's 2019 strategy, Towards an AI Strategy, outlines AI in education as a key enabler. It stresses adapting the curriculum to prepare students for a workplace shaped by AI, where digital literacy begins early and AI assists in decision-making. The integrated approach incorporates AI elements into existing education or ICT policies and strategies. Malaysia's 2016 #mydigitalmaker initiative exemplifies this by incorporating computational thinking into the curriculum through partnerships among government, industry and academic to align digital making programs with national education goals. Similarly, Argentina's 2017 'Aprender Conectados' strategy seeks to embed digital learning, including programming and robotics, across all compulsory educational levels. The curriculum sets clear, age-appropriate competencies from preschool through secondary school, aiming to develop students' ability to use computing techniques independently and collaboratively to solve problems. The thematic approach focuses on one specific topic relating to AI and education, exemplified by the European Union's initiatives. In 2016, the EU Parliament approved the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which came into effect in 2018. GDPR aims to harmonize data privacy laws across member states, protect citizens' personal data, and fundamentally reshape how organizations handle data privacy. Complementing this, the EU launched the European Digital Competence Framework (DigComp) in 2017, which defines digital competence across five areas: information and data literacy, communication and collaboration, digital content creation, safety, and problem solving. Additionally, other national and regional programs align with this thematic approach, including China's New ICT Curriculum Standards for Senior High School (2017), which promotes information awareness, computational thinking, digital innovation, and social responsibility. In 2018, China introduced the Innovative Action Plan for Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education Institutions to advance AI in universities by enhancing innovation systems, strengthening talent development, and promoting the application of AI-related scientific and technological advancements. Singapore's Code@SG Movement- Developing Computational Thinking as a National Capability (2017) emphasizes early cultivation of coding and computational thinking as essential capabilities, while Estonia's ProgeTiger Programme (2012) integrates programming and robotics across early childhood to vocational education (Miao et al, 2021).

These national and regional policies reveal four key priorities regarding AI in education: effective management of data and privacy, fostering transparency and equitable access to AI technologies and data to address information gaps, innovation in curricula to respond to AI's transformative potential, and sustained financial investment. To fundamentally realize the potential of AI in education while addressing its associated risks, a coordinated and strategic approach is essential. This includes system-level planning, rigorous evaluation, collaborative engagement, long-term funding, targeted research, and robust international collaboration. Despite the growing presence of AI in education, most nations and stakeholders remain unprepared. It is imperative that research and policy not only advocate for AI adoption but

critically evaluate which technologies are appropriate, how they should be implemented, and what measurable outcomes they can deliver.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence holds the potential to both accelerate and undermine progress toward SDG4. While it can personalize learning and expand access, it also risks deepening inequalities and challenging traditional notions of educational quality. To harness AI responsibly, education systems must prioritize equitable infrastructure, ethical governance, and a redefinition of learning outcomes that go beyond routine skills. Technological progress alone does not guarantee sustainable development**.** Rather, its impact is shaped by context, intent, and design**.** Achieving SDG 4**,** not merely in terms of statistical attainment but in its full substantive vision necessitates a redefinition of what constitutes quality learning in the AI age. This involves strategic investment in digital infrastructure, capacity-building for educators and learners, and the promotion of AI literacy as a foundational competency. Only through such an integrated and equitable approach can AI and other emerging technologies function as enablers of empowerment, rather than mechanisms of exclusion, preparing learners not only for participation in a digital economy, but also for meaningful engagement in a sustainable and just society**.**

Recommendations

Artificial Intelligence in education must inherently adopt a human-centred approach that places learners, educators, and all relevant stakeholders at its core. As AI systems become more integrated into teaching, assessment, and knowledge production, the focus must shift beyond efficiency and automation toward equity, inclusion, and meaningful human development. AI has the potential to expand access to quality education, democratize research opportunities, and amplify diverse cultural expressions within global learning systems. However, without deliberate ethical design and inclusive implementation, it risks reinforcing existing inequalities and deepening technological divides within and between nations. Therefore, the future of AI in education must be guided by principles of fairness, accessibility, cultural diversity, and shared global responsibility, ensuring that technological advancement becomes a bridge to opportunity rather than a barrier to it (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, n.d.).

Hence, artificial Intelligence in education must be guided by a human-centred and equity-driven approach that prioritizes inclusion, social justice, and meaningful human development. This is no less a concern for AI and education: if AI is to support Sustainable Development Goal 4 (Quality Education), deliberate efforts are required to prevent it from reinforcing existing inequalities. Central to this effort is the development of low-cost, scalable, and context-appropriate AI models that enable low- and middle-income nations to adopt and adapt AI technologies sustainably. At the same time, the interests and perspectives of these nations must be meaningfully represented in the decision-making processes concerning AI governance. Creating bridges between nations where AI implementation is more advanced and those at earlier stages of adoption will foster collaboration, knowledge exchange, and shared innovation, helping to reduce technological divides within and between nations.

Significantly, AI is already being applied in multiple ways, from personalized learning platforms and intelligent tutoring systems to data-driven education management tools. When responsibly implemented, these applications can enhance inclusion and equity, improve the quality of learning, support pedagogical innovation, and strengthen education management. However, they must be designed and governed with safeguards to ensure transparency, accountability, data protection, and algorithmic fairness.

Primarily, the education systems themselves have a critical role in preparing citizens for life and work amid the transformative changes brought about by artificial intelligence. This includes fostering digital and AI literacy, critical thinking, ethical awareness, creativity, and adaptability. Learners must not only use AI tools but also understand their implications, limitations, and societal impacts. Therefore, the main strategic objectives for AI in education should focus on harnessing its benefits while mitigating its risks. Achieving these objectives requires coherent national policies, sustainable investment, teacher professional development, and continuous monitoring and evaluation. By ensuring affordability, inclusive governance, ethical safeguards, and global cooperation, AI can become instrumental in strengthening education systems and advancing sustainable development rather than widening existing divides.

To ensure that AI truly strengthens education rather than exacerbating existing inequalities, its deployment must be guided by principles of ethics, inclusion, and equity. AI tools should be designed with human-centred, privacy- and security-by-design approaches, ensuring transparency, accountability, and fairness, while protecting students' rights to privacy. Policymakers and educators must guarantee equitable access to AI technologies, particularly for vulnerable groups such as students with disabilities, refugees, marginalized communities, and learners in low-resource or rural settings, bridging the digital divide within and between nations. Comprehensive data governance frameworks and regulatory policies are essential to prevent misuse of educational data, mitigate algorithmic bias, and uphold human rights. Investment in research, capacity building, and international collaboration should be prioritized to share best practices, foster responsible innovation, and align AI implementation with local and global educational needs. AI should complement and enhance human teaching, enabling personalized and inclusive learning opportunities, while continuous monitoring and ethical oversight ensure that emerging technologies advance high-quality, equitable, and human-centred education globally.

AI should also support lifelong learning across ages, locations, and socio-economic contexts. Institutions should develop AI-powered platforms that track learning outcomes, facilitate hybrid and non-conventional learning pathways, and provide credentials and skill specializations accessible to all learners. Special attention should be given to older learners and marginalized communities to bridge disparities in access to AI and digital skills.

To realize a desirable and achievable AI-enabled education system, automation must enhance, rather than replace human agency, ensuring that educators and students remain firmly in control of instructional decisions. AI adoption should be guided by clearly defined educational goals, such as improving teacher retention, advancing equity, and supporting whole-learner development, and should proceed only when tools demonstrably align with evidence-based learning principles, safeguard privacy, mitigate bias, and remain transparent, explainable, and subject to human oversight. Educators must be positioned as active co-designers and informed decision makers, supported by sustained professional development and strong institutional frameworks. AI must serve education's human-centered mission, with timelines guided not by technological momentum but by readiness, proven impact, and public confidence (Pedro et al, 2019).

References

Abdelaal, N. M., & Al Sawi, I. (2024). Perceptions, challenges, and prospects: University professors' use of artificial intelligence in education. *Australian Journal of Applied Linguistics, 7*(1).

Akinwalere, S. N., & Ivanov, V. (2022). Artificial intelligence in higher education: Challenges and opportunities. *Border Crossing, 12*(1).

Alexander, B., Ashford-Rowe, K., Barajas-Murph, N., Dobbin, G., Knott, J., McCormack, M., Pomerantz, J., Seilhamer, R., & Weber, N. (2019). *EDUCAUSE Horizon report: 2019 higher education edition*. EDUCAUSE.

Altbach, P. G. (2012). The global academic revolution: Implications for India. In P. Agarwal (Ed.), *A half-century of Indian higher education: Essays by Philip G. Altbach* (pp. 253–269). Sage.

Bakhshi, S. I., & Jilani, A. (2021). Leveraging technology in higher education during COVID-19 pandemic: Opportunities, challenges and trends. In S. K. Sukula & P. K. Jain (Eds.), *Transitions and transformations in academic libraries and higher education: Contemplations and savoir faire during COVID-19* (pp. 4–14). Ess Ess Publications.

Dodgson, M., Gann, D., & Salter, A. (2008). *The management of technological innovation*. Oxford University Press.

Khalifa, M., & Albadawy, M. (2024). Using artificial intelligence in academic writing and research: An essential productivity tool. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine Update, 5*.

Kuzilek, J., Hlosta, M., & Zdrahal, Z. (2015). *OU Analyse: Analysing at-risk students at The Open University*. LACE. https://oro.open.ac.uk/42529/1/__userdata_documents4_ctb44_Desktop_analysing-at-risk-students-at-open-university.pdf

Miao, F., Holmes, W., Huang, R., & Zhang, H. (2021). *AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers*. UNESCO. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000376709/PDF/376709eng.pdf.multi

National Science and Technology Council. (2016). *The national artificial intelligence research and development strategic plan*. National Science and Technology Council. https://www.nitrd.gov/pubs/national_ai_rd_strategic_plan.pdf

National Science and Technology Council. (2023). *National artificial intelligence research and development strategic plan: 2023 update*. National Science and Technology Council. https://www.nitrd.gov/pubs/National-Artificial-Intelligence-Research-and-Development-Strategic-Plan-2023-Update.pdf

Nisha, F., & Bakhshi, S. I. (2019). Trends in massive open online courses (MOOCs). In P. Rai, A. Singh, & S. I. Bakhshi (Eds.), *Bridging educational divides: MOOCs & OERs* (pp. 24–33). NLUD Press.

Nyhan, M., & Marshall, K. (2024). *The ethical application of generative artificial intelligence in supporting education for sustainable development globally*. Science-Policy Brief for the Multistakeholder Forum on Science, Technology and Innovation for the SDGs. https://sdgs.un.org/sites/default/files/2024-05/Nyhan%3B%20Marshall_The%20Ethical%20Application%20of%20Generative%20

Artificial%20Intelligence%20in%20Supporting%20Education%20for%20Sustainable%20Development%20Globally.pdf

Papaspyridis, A. (2020, March 26). *AI in higher education: Opportunities and considerations*. Microsoft News. https://news.microsoft.com/apac/2020/03/26/ai-in-higher-education-opportunities-and-considerations/

Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development* (UNESCO Working Paper on Education Policy). UNESCO. https://repositorio.minedu.gob.pe/bitstream/handle/20.500.12799/6533/Artificial%20intelligence%20in%20education%20challenges%20and%20opportunities%20for%20sustainable%20development.pdf

Raja, A. (2024). *Understanding the effect of AI in higher education in India*. IndiaAI. https://indiaai.gov.in/article/understanding-the-effect-of-ai-in-higher-education-in-india

Schukoske, J. E. (2014). Legal education reform in India: Dialogue among Indian law teachers. In L. Malik & M. Arora (Eds.), *Legal education in India: Essays in honor of Professor Ranbir Singh*. Universal Law Publishing.

Sharma, S., et al. (2024). Artificial intelligence in Indian higher education institutions: A quantitative study on adoption and perceptions. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13198-023-02193-8

Tuomi, I. (2018). *The impact of artificial intelligence on learning, teaching, and education: Policies for the future*. Publications Office of the European Union. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329544152_The_Impact_of_Artificial_Intelligence_on_Learning_Teaching_and_Education_Policies_for_the_Future

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (n.d.). *Artificial intelligence in education*. UNESCO. https://www.unesco.org/en/digital-education/artificial-intelligence

U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology. (2023). *Artificial intelligence and the future of teaching and learning: Insights and recommendations*. https://www.ed.gov/sites/ed/files/documents/ai-report/ai-report.pdf

Webster, G., et al. (2017). *China's new generation artificial intelligence development plan*. DigiChina. https://digichina.stanford.edu/work/full-translation-chinas-new-generation-artificial-intelligence-development-plan-2017/